



# Metaheuristic Optimization

## 4. Random Sampling

Thomas Weise · 汤卫思

tweise@hfu.edu.cn · <http://iao.hfu.edu.cn>

Hefei University, South Campus 2  
Faculty of Computer Science and Technology  
Institute of Applied Optimization  
230601 Shushan District, Hefei, Anhui, China  
Econ. & Tech. Devel. Zone, Jinxiu Dadao 99

合肥学院 南艳湖校区/南2区  
计算机科学与技术系  
应用优化研究所  
中国 安徽省 合肥市 蜀山区 230601  
经济技术开发区 锦绣大道99号

## 1 Random Sampling



website

- Exhaustive Enumeration has several disadvantages.

- Exhaustive Enumeration has several disadvantages.
- The main problem is that it just needs was too long to be feasible for any practical application.

- Exhaustive Enumeration has several disadvantages.
- The main problem is that it just needs way too long to be feasible for any practical application.
- Another disadvantage is that we need to, well, enumerate the possible solutions, i.e., there must be some kind of order imposed on them.

- Exhaustive Enumeration has several disadvantages.
- The main problem is that it just needs to be too long to be feasible for any practical application.
- Another disadvantage is that we need to, well, enumerate the possible solutions, i.e., there must be some kind of order imposed on them.
- If the solutions are, e.g., real vectors, there is no natural way to do this.

- Exhaustive Enumeration has several disadvantages.
- The main problem is that it just needs was too long to be feasible for any practical application.
- Another disadvantage is that we need to, well, enumerate the possible solutions, i.e., there must be some kind of order imposed on them.
- If the solutions are, e.g., real vectors, there is no natural way to do this.
- Random Sampling is an algorithm which, simply, randomly creates a new candidate solution, usually by uniformly sampling the search space.

- Exhaustive Enumeration has several disadvantages.
- The main problem is that it just needs was too long to be feasible for any practical application.
- Another disadvantage is that we need to, well, enumerate the possible solutions, i.e., there must be some kind of order imposed on them.
- If the solutions are, e.g., real vectors, there is no natural way to do this.
- Random Sampling is an algorithm which, simply, randomly creates a new candidate solution, usually by uniformly sampling the search space. (*uniform sampling* means that each possible genotype has the same probability of being chosen)



```
 $p_{best} \leftarrow \text{randomSampling}(f)$ 
```

**Input:**  $f$ : the objective function subject to minimization

**Input:** [implicit]  $\text{shouldTerminate}$ : the termination criterion

**Data:**  $p_{new}$ : the new solution to be tested

**Output:**  $p_{best}$ : the best individual ever discovered

**begin**

```
 $p_{best}.g \leftarrow \text{create}()$ 
```

```
 $p_{best}.x \leftarrow \text{gpm}(p_{best}.g)$ 
```

```
 $p_{best}.y \leftarrow f(p_{best}.x)$ 
```

```
while  $\neg \text{shouldTerminate}$  do
```

```
     $p_{new}.g \leftarrow \text{create}()$ 
```

```
     $p_{new}.x \leftarrow \text{gpm}(p_{new}.g)$ 
```

```
     $p_{new}.y \leftarrow f(p_{new}.x)$ 
```

```
    if  $p_{new}.y \leq p_{best}.y$  then  $p_{best} \leftarrow p_{new}$ 
```

```
return  $p_{best}$ 
```

```
 $p_{best} \leftarrow \text{randomSampling}(f)$ 
```

**Input:**  $f$ : the objective function subject to minimization

**Input:**  $shouldTerminate$ : the termination criterion

**Data:**  $p_{new}$ : the new solution to be tested

**Output:**  $p_{best}$ : the best individual ever discovered

```
begin
   $p_{best}.g \leftarrow \text{create}()$ 
   $p_{best}.x \leftarrow \text{gpm}(p_{best}.g)$ 
   $p_{best}.y \leftarrow f(p_{best}.x)$ 
  while  $\neg shouldTerminate$  do
     $p_{new}.g \leftarrow \text{create}()$ 
     $p_{new}.x \leftarrow \text{gpm}(p_{new}.g)$ 
     $p_{new}.y \leftarrow f(p_{new}.x)$ 
    if  $p_{new}.y \leq p_{best}.y$  then  $p_{best} \leftarrow p_{new}$ 
  return  $p_{best}$ 
```

1 create random candidate solution  $p_{best}$

```
 $p_{best} \leftarrow \text{randomSampling}(f)$ 
```

**Input:**  $f$ : the objective function subject to minimization

**Input:** [implicit]  $\text{shouldTerminate}$ : the termination criterion

**Data:**  $p_{new}$ : the new solution to be tested

**Output:**  $p_{best}$ : the best individual ever discovered

**begin**

```
 $p_{best}.g \leftarrow \text{create}()$ 
```

```
 $p_{best}.x \leftarrow \text{gpm}(p_{best}.g)$ 
```

```
 $p_{best}.y \leftarrow f(p_{best}.x)$ 
```

```
while  $\neg \text{shouldTerminate}$  do
```

```
     $p_{new}.g \leftarrow \text{create}()$ 
```

```
     $p_{new}.x \leftarrow \text{gpm}(p_{new}.g)$ 
```

```
     $p_{new}.y \leftarrow f(p_{new}.x)$ 
```

```
    if  $p_{new}.y \leq p_{best}.y$  then  $p_{best} \leftarrow p_{new}$ 
```

```
return  $p_{best}$ 
```

- 1 create random candidate solution  $p_{best}$
- 2 create a *completely new* random solution  $p_{new}$

```
 $p_{best} \leftarrow \text{randomSampling}(f)$ 
```

**Input:**  $f$ : the objective function subject to minimization

**Input:** [implicit]  $\text{shouldTerminate}$ : the termination criterion

**Data:**  $p_{new}$ : the new solution to be tested

**Output:**  $p_{best}$ : the best individual ever discovered

**begin**

```
 $p_{best}.g \leftarrow \text{create}()$ 
```

```
 $p_{best}.x \leftarrow \text{gpm}(p_{best}.g)$ 
```

```
 $p_{best}.y \leftarrow f(p_{best}.x)$ 
```

```
while  $\neg \text{shouldTerminate}$  do
```

```
     $p_{new}.g \leftarrow \text{create}()$ 
```

```
     $p_{new}.x \leftarrow \text{gpm}(p_{new}.g)$ 
```

```
     $p_{new}.y \leftarrow f(p_{new}.x)$ 
```

```
    if  $p_{new}.y \leq p_{best}.y$  then  $p_{best} \leftarrow p_{new}$ 
```

```
return  $p_{best}$ 
```

- 1 create random candidate solution  $p_{best}$
- 2 create a *completely new* random solution  $p_{new}$
- 3 if  $p_{new}$  is better than  $p_{best}$ , set  $p_{best} = p_{new}$

```
 $p_{best} \leftarrow \text{randomSampling}(f)$ 
```

**Input:**  $f$ : the objective function subject to minimization

**Input:** [implicit]  $\text{shouldTerminate}$ : the termination criterion

**Data:**  $p_{new}$ : the new solution to be tested

**Output:**  $p_{best}$ : the best individual ever discovered

**begin**

```
 $p_{best}.g \leftarrow \text{create}()$ 
```

```
 $p_{best}.x \leftarrow \text{gpm}(p_{best}.g)$ 
```

```
 $p_{best}.y \leftarrow f(p_{best}.x)$ 
```

```
while  $\neg \text{shouldTerminate}$  do
```

```
     $p_{new}.g \leftarrow \text{create}()$ 
```

```
     $p_{new}.x \leftarrow \text{gpm}(p_{new}.g)$ 
```

```
     $p_{new}.y \leftarrow f(p_{new}.x)$ 
```

```
    if  $p_{new}.y \leq p_{best}.y$  then  $p_{best} \leftarrow p_{new}$ 
```

```
return  $p_{best}$ 
```

- 1 create random candidate solution  $p_{best}$
- 2 create a *completely new* random solution  $p_{new}$
- 3 if  $p_{new}$  is better than  $p_{best}$ , set  $p_{best} = p_{new}$
- 4 go back to 2, until termination criterion is met

- Let us implement random sampling

- Let us implement random sampling for
  - ① numerical optimization (over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) and for

- Let us implement random sampling for
  - ① numerical optimization (over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) and for
  - ② combinatorial optimization (e.g., for TSP over permutations).



## Listing: The Random Sampling Algorithm

```
public class RandomSampling<G, X> extends OptimizationAlgorithm<G, X> {
    public Individual<G, X> solve(final IObjectiveFunction<X> f) {
        Individual<G, X> pstar, pnew;

        pstar = new Individual<>();
        pnew = new Individual<>();

        pstar.g = this.nullary.create(this.random);
        pstar.x = this.gpm.gpm(pstar.g);
        pstar.v = f.compute(pstar.x);

        while (!(this.termination.shouldTerminate())) {
            pnew.g = this.nullary.create(this.random);
            pnew.x = this.gpm.gpm(pnew.g);
            pnew.v = f.compute(pnew.x);

            if (pnew.v <= pstar.v) {
                pstar.assign(pnew);
            }
        }
        return pstar;
    }
}
```

- Random sampling keeps randomly generating new candidate solutions while remembering the best one.

- Random sampling keeps randomly generating new candidate solutions while remembering the best one.
- If we imagine a large search space with, say, millions of points, then it is clear that it would take very long to (by pure chance) find the (maybe only one) best solution this way.

- Random sampling keeps randomly generating new candidate solutions while remembering the best one.
- If we imagine a large search space with, say, millions of points, then it is clear that it would take very long to (by pure chance) find the (maybe only one) best solution this way.
- It may even take extremely long to find anything remotely good.

- Random sampling keeps randomly generating new candidate solutions while remembering the best one.
- If we imagine a large search space with, say, millions of points, then it is clear that it would take very long to (by pure chance) find the (maybe only one) best solution this way.
- It may even take extremely long to find anything remotely good.
- Thus, random sampling is, like exhaustive enumeration, **never** every use for optimization.

- Random sampling keeps randomly generating new candidate solutions while remembering the best one.
- If we imagine a large search space with, say, millions of points, then it is clear that it would take very long to (by pure chance) find the (maybe only one) best solution this way.
- It may even take extremely long to find anything remotely good.
- Thus, random sampling is, like exhaustive enumeration, **never** every use for optimization.
- But this algorithm has two advantages compared to exhaustive enumeration

- Random sampling keeps randomly generating new candidate solutions while remembering the best one.
- If we imagine a large search space with, say, millions of points, then it is clear that it would take very long to (by pure chance) find the (maybe only one) best solution this way.
- It may even take extremely long to find anything remotely good.
- Thus, random sampling is, like exhaustive enumeration, **never** every use for optimization.
- But this algorithm has two advantages compared to exhaustive enumeration:
  - ① We do not need to impose any order on the search space.

- Random sampling keeps randomly generating new candidate solutions while remembering the best one.
- If we imagine a large search space with, say, millions of points, then it is clear that it would take very long to (by pure chance) find the (maybe only one) best solution this way.
- It may even take extremely long to find anything remotely good.
- Thus, random sampling is, like exhaustive enumeration, **never** every use for optimization.
- But this algorithm has two advantages compared to exhaustive enumeration:
  - ① We do not need to impose any order on the search space.
  - ② Everytime we start it, it will look at the candidate solutions in a different sequence – while exhaustive enumeration, with a poorly chosen order, will always necessarily take very very long.



- Random sampling keeps randomly generating new candidate solutions while remembering the best one.
- If we imagine a large search space with, say, millions of points, then it is clear that it would take very long to (by pure chance) find the (maybe only one) best solution this way.
- It may even take extremely long to find anything remotely good.
- Thus, random sampling is, like exhaustive enumeration, **never** every use for optimization.
- But this algorithm has two advantages compared to exhaustive enumeration:
  - ① We do not need to impose any order on the search space.
  - ② Everytime we start it, it will look at the candidate solutions in a different sequence – while exhaustive enumeration, with a poorly chosen order, will always necessarily take very very long.
- Yet, this algorithm is still entirely useless.

# 谢谢

## Thank you

Thomas Weise [汤卫思]  
tweise@hfu.edu.cn  
<http://iao.hfu.edu.cn>

Hefei University, South Campus 2  
Institute of Applied Optimization  
Shushan District, Hefei, Anhui,  
China

